

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/667,459 | 09/23/2003 | Douglas W. Gerhart | 09232.0001 9476 | |
| 7590 04/08/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, | | | HAVAN, THU THAO | |
| Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315 | | | 3624 | |
| • | | | DATE MAILED: 04/08/2009 | . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/667,459 | GERHART ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thu Thao Havan | 3624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ap | oril 2005. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>'</i> | - | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | 7.03.01.01.101.11.10.102. | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | · | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | d in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • • | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ,. | | | | | |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) 🔲 Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/667,459

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The examiner approves the drawings filed on September 23, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being anticipated by Parthasarathy (US publication no. 2003/0036993).

Re claim 1, Parthasarathy teaches a system for managing a market for collateralized loans (para. 0016), comprising:

a database comprised of entries of borrowing and lending information, wherein each entry for borrowing information includes data identifying a desired loan asset, data identifying collateral for the desired loan asset, and a unique identification of a borrower, and wherein each entry for lending information includes a unique identification of a lender and data specifying conditions under which the lender will supply a loan to a borrower, and wherein the borrowing and lending information from the database is made available to borrowers and lenders (para. 0012 and 0013); and

Application/Control Number: 10/667,459

Art Unit: 3624

a computer for maintaining and querying the database and for receiving a query, and in response to the query, the computer (para. 0035 and 0157):

determining whether the query constitutes an offer to borrow or an offer to lend an asset (para. 0045, 0048, and 0088),

based on a result of the determination, locating in the database a set of entries that match attributes of the offer (para. 0047),

upon locating a match, creating a secured loan between any borrowers or lenders identified by the set of entries that match attributes of the offer and a borrower or lender specified by the offer, using any collateral identified in the set of entries that match attributes of the offer when it is determined that the query constitutes an offer to lend an asset and collateral identified in the query when it is notifying parties concerning the secured loan (para. 0048-0049, 0051, 0054, and 0045). In other words, Parthasarathy discloses loan collateral with the users having the option of choosing from a number of alternative forms of matching the lender data to the borrower data. The user can enter the user's lending offer or the borrowing request. This is referred to as the first set of parameters. In that the user enjoys the flexibility in prescribing the first set of parameters. For example, not only can the user define the details of the first set of parameters, but can also indicate which, if any, of the parameters are negotiable, and which are not. Furthermore, the type of collateral under consideration could be capital, securities, a bank guarantee, real property, or personal property.

Re claim 2, Parthasarathy teaches servicing the secured loan according to the data specifying conditions under which the lender will supply a loan to a borrower (para. 0044, 0063, and 0017). Parthasarathy discloses the collateral is in the form of a pledge on securities or bank

Art Unit: 3624

guarantees, it could be verified with the depositories, banks, public debt offices or the concern authorities. In addition, Parthasarathy teaches according to the data specifying conditions when he discloses the method of evaluating that the collateral is in the amount and type as purported by the prospective borrower.

Re claims 3, 12, and 20, Parthasarathy teaches a method, system, and a computer program as claimed in claim 1. Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claim 1 applies herein.

Re claims 4 and 13, Parthasarathy teaches servicing the secured loan according to the attributes of the lender's offer to lend and the attributes of the borrower's offer to borrow (para. 0035 and 0012). Parthasarathy specifically discloses the claimed limitation when he discloses that the users typically comprise potential borrowers and potential lenders, who are accessing the forum in search of a matched borrower or lender according to specific loan requests provided to the forum by each user.

Re claims 5 and 14, Parthasarathy teaches monitoring a value of the fungible collateral asset periodically and requesting an additional fungible collateral asset from the borrower if the value of the fungible collateral asset is less than a predetermined value (para. 0017).

Re claims 6 and 15, Parthasarathy teaches determining whether the secured loan has reached maturity according to the loan term, determining whether the borrower has provided the loaned asset and a loan fee, when the loan reaches maturity, and transferring the fungible collateral asset to the lender if the borrower has not provided the loaned asset and a loan fee when the loan reaches maturity (para. 0018-0019).

Page 5

Re claims 7 and 16, Parthasarathy teaches transferring the loaned asset from the lender to an operator and transferring the loaned asset from the operator to a borrower (para. 0016, 0018, and 0081).

Re claims 8 and 17, Parthasarathy teaches comparing the attributes of an offer to lend to the attributes of each of the plurality of offers to borrow when the offer to lend is received (para. 0039). The parameters of the prospective loan correspond to the attributes as claimed because both disclose characters of the loans.

Re claims 9 and 18, Parthasarathy teaches comparing the attributes of each of the received plurality of offers to lend to the attributes of each of the plurality of offers to borrow at a predetermined time (para. 0046, 0069, and 0082).

Re claims 10 and 19, Parthasarathy teaches fungible collateral asset is a specified quantity of a specified homogenous asset, and wherein the specified homogenous asset is one of the group comprising: a specific common stock, a specific bond, and cash (para. 0046).

Re claim 11, Parthasarathy teaches fungible collateral asset is a portfolio of various fungible assets (para. 0081-0082). As defined in paragraph 0072 of present application, Applicant defines the assets that are provided as collateral for loans are fungible assets. Correspondingly, Parthasarathy discloses collections of assets and securities for the collateralized loans.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,459 Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

Fox et al., pending application no. US 2001/0034701 A1, discloses managing and

tracking loan collateral;

Tengel et al., US patent no. 5,940,812, discloses automatically matching a loan;

Brown et al., US patent no. 6,622,131, discloses first and second loan sources for

computing offers; and

Pretell et al., pending application no. US 2005/0004860 A1, discloses loan advisory to

compare plethora of loans.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 605-0200. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent

Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-

uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH 4/01/2005

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines Mille